

Sinclair Broadcasting Group's plan to demand that their stations air a political documentary days before the election is one example of the dangers inherent in media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. I can see no "public interest" being served by a blatantly one-sided political program. It is no different than a 30 second political ad. Furthermore, Sinclair is controlling what local stations carry for programming, and I believe this is not in the best interests our of democracy. Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. Thank you.